Texture and geochemistry of multi-stage hydrothermal scheelite in the Tongshankou porphyry-skarn Cu-Mo(-W) deposit, eastern China: Implications for ore-forming process and fluid metasomatism

JINSHENG HAN¹, HUAYONG CHEN^{1,*}, WEI HONG², PETE HOLLINGS³, GAOBIN CHU¹, LE ZHANG⁴, AND SIQUAN SUN⁵

¹Key Laboratory of Mineralogy and Metallogeny, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China
²ARC Centre of Excellence in Ore Deposits (CODES), University of Tasmania, Private Bag 79, Hobart 7001, Australia
³Department of Geology, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, Ontario P7B 5E1, Canada
⁴State Kay, Lakertage Caschemistry, Cuangshow Institute of Caschemistry, Chinase Academy of Sciences, Guangshow 510640, China

⁴State Key Laboratory of Isotope Geochemistry, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China ⁵Wuhan Institute of Geology and Mineral Resources, Wuhan 430205, China

Abstract

Scheelite from the Tongshankou porphyry-skarn Cu-Mo(-W) deposit occurs mainly as disseminated grains in the altered granodiorite porphyries at depth (Sch A), in the skarn coeval with retrograde alteration (Sch B) and in distal quartz veins crosscutting marbles (Sch C). Cathodoluminescence (CL) responses within a single Sch A grain reveal two subtypes: CL-clear Sch A-I and CL-turbid, densely veined Sch A-II. The CL contrast, coupled with geochemical data, suggest Sch A-I was metasomatized to form Sch A-II. CL images reveal that Sch A-I, Sch B and Sch C are all homogenous, with blue luminescence and are depleted in heavy rare earth elements (HREE), indicating a primary origin. However, Sch A-II is characterized by higher contents of light REE and heavy REE as well as higher Sr isotopes (0.7080–0.7100) than the primary scheelite (<0.7080). These differences indicate that Sch A-II formed through dissolution-reprecipitation. The Sr isotopes of the primary scheelite (0.7073–0.7078) are generally consistent with those of the mineralized granodiorite porphyries (0.7061–0.7063) and mafic enclaves (0.7058–0.7073). The granodiorite porphyries contain low tungsten contents (3–11 ppm), whereas high tungsten contents were detected in mafic enclaves (48-75 ppm). The coexistence of mafic enclaves and tungsten mineralization at depth, and their consistent Sr isotopes, indicates that the interaction of mafic enclaves and exsolved magmatic fluids from the granodiorite porphyries may have played an important role in the extraction of tungsten from the mafic enclaves and formation of scheelite mineralization. Our work shows that scheelite geochemistry can be used to trace the mineralizing conditions but the compositions may be significantly modified during the ore-forming process. Thus, detailed textural relationships should be investigated before using scheelite geochemistry to constrain the hydrothermal fluids and ore genesis.

Keywords: Scheelite, metasomatic alteration, dissolution-reprecipitation, mineral textures, mineral geochemistry

INTRODUCTION

The elemental geochemistry of minerals has been widely used as tracers of magmatic processes (e.g., Lipin and McKay 1989; Ware et al. 2018). However, in hydrothermal systems, it is more challenging as the element distribution in hydrothermal minerals could be modified during formation, transportation, or precipitation as well as during subsequent hydrothermal events (Uspensky et al. 1998; Smith et al. 2004; Gaspar et al. 2008). During those processes, some trace elements will be remobilized and some new mineral phases could be generated (Putnis 2002, 2009; Geisler et al. 2007; Deditius et al. 2018). For example, such modification of magnetite, apatite, sulfides, and zircon in hydrothermal systems have been recently reported (Geisler et al. 2007; Hu et al. 2014; Zhao et al. 2014; Zeng et al. 2016), which caution the use of elemental geochemistry in deciphering the hydrothermal ore genesis.

0003-004X/20/0005-945\$05.00/DOI: https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2020-7194

Scheelite and wolframite are two main tungsten minerals (Wood and Samson 2000). Scheelite (CaWO₄) is well developed in porphyry and skarn related polymetallic deposits and is often coeval with other ore minerals such as chalcopyrite and cassiterite (Noble et al. 1984; Brugger et al. 2000; Song et al. 2014; Fu et al. 2017). Scheelite is also a common accessory hydrothermal mineral that often coexists with gold in quartz vein-hosted gold deposits (Darbyshire et al. 1996; Ghaderi et al. 1999; Brugger et al. 2002). Scheelite has a simple tetrahedral [WO₄]²⁻ and dodecahedral [CaO₈]¹⁴⁻ crystal structure and can host high contents of rare earth elements (REEs), Sr, and Pb through substitution of Ca2+ in the mineral lattice (Uspensky et al. 1998; Ghaderi et al. 1999; Dostal et al. 2009). Rubidium/Sr ratios in scheelite are typically very low (approximately zero) because of its inability to incorporate Rb into its lattice (Bell et al. 1989; Darbyshire et al. 1996; Chugaev et al. 2010). This means 87Sr/86Sr changes little over time, so as long as the system remains closed, the measured isotope ratio can directly reflect the Sr isotopic characteristics of the fluids from which the scheelite

^{*} E-mail: huayongchen@gig.ac.cn

crystallized. This important feature, together with the high contents of REEs and other trace elements, make scheelite a powerful tool for investigating fluids associated with hydrothermal mineralization (Ghaderi et al. 1999; Song et al. 2014; Sun and Chen 2017; Plotinskaya et al. 2018). However, scheelite can be hydrothermally modified, resulting in inhomogeneous REE patterns across an individual grain, as demonstrated by distinct internal structures under CL (e.g., Archaean Mt. Charlotte Lode Au deposit; Brugger et al. 2002). Thus, without detailed textural studies, the geochemistry of scheelite may provide misleading information.

The Middle-Lower Yangtze River metallogenic belt (MLYRB) has long been considered an important porphyry-skarn Fe and Fe-Cu mineralization province (Fig. 1a; Ling et al. 2009; Li et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2015). Several W-Mo deposits have been discovered in recent years (Zhu et al. 2014; Lei et al. 2018), including the Tongshankou porphyry-skarn deposit located in the Edong district of the MLYRB. Tongshankou is a large Cu-Mo-(W) polymetallic deposit that contains a resource of 0.5 Mt Cu 2000 t Mo and 12000 t WO₃ (Fig. 1b; Li et al. 2008). The origin and paragenesis of the scheelite mineralization remains enigmatic. We present a combination of cathodoluminescence images, in situ major and trace elements, and Sr isotopes of well-characterized scheelite grains to (1) investigate the formation mechanism of variable generations of scheelite grains in a single deposit and (2) decipher the evolution of the ore-forming fluids and the source for the tungsten.

REGIONAL AND DEPOSIT GEOLOGY

The MLYRB, extending from Echeng in the west to Zhenjiang in the east, contains more than 200 porphyry, skarn, and stratiform Cu-Au-Mo-Fe deposits (Lai et al. 2007; Ling et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2015; Fig. 1a). These deposits are distributed in seven ore clusters, including Edong, Jiurui, Anqing-Guichi, Luzong, Tongling, Ningwu, and Ningzhen (Fig. 1a; Lai et al. 2007; Xie et al. 2012). In the Edong ore cluster, more than 50 porphyry-skarn and skarn Cu-Fe-Au-Mo deposits are hosted in extensive Cambrian to Middle Triassic marine carbonates, clastic, and flysch sequences (>6000 m in thickness; Fig. 1b; Xie et al. 2012, 2015, 2016; Xia et al. 2015), genetically associated with felsic to intermediate intrusions with ages ranging from 150 to 120 Ma (Fig. 1b; e.g., Li et al. 2009).

Recent deep drilling has identified schee-





FIGURE 1. (a) Geological map of magmatic rocks and deposits in the Middle-Lower Yangtze River Valley Metallogenic Belt (modified from Chang et al. 1991). TLF = Tancheng-Lujiang fault, XGF = Xiangfan-Guangji fault, YCF = Yangxing-Changzhou fault. (b) Geological map of the Edong district, showing mineralization types and their emplacement age (modified from Xie et al. 2012). Different sizes of circles indicate the size of the deposit. Sample dating locations are from (Li et al. 2008, 2009; Xie et al. 2012). (Color online.)

American Mineralogist, vol. 105, 2020

Downloaded from http://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/msa/ammin/article-pdf/105/6/945/5050665/am-2020-7194.pdf by Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry CAS user on 29 October 2021



FIGURE 2. Cross section of the No. 8 prospecting line. (Color online.)

lite mineralization in the Tongshankou porphyry-skarn system (Zhu et al. 2018). The deposit is closely associated with the granodiorite porphyries that commonly host microgranular enclaves whose abundance generally increases with depth (Figs. 2 and 3a; Wang et al. 2004; Li et al. 2008). The Tongshankou stocks were emplaced into the limestone and dolomitic limestone of the Lower Triassic Daye Formation at about 140 Ma via LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb dating, within error of Re-Os molybdenite ages (~143 Ma: Lv et al. 1992; Shu et al. 1992; Li et al. 2008).

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

The scheelite samples (28N1-90: Sch A; 28N1-92: Sch B; 901-63: Sch C) were collected from drill holes B28NZK1 (28N1-90: -448.42 m; 28N1-92: -451.3 m) and B09EZK1 (901-63: -490.3 m). The calcite coexisting with Sch A in sample

28N1-90 was also chosen for in situ Sr isotope analysis. Polished sections of these samples were made for microscopic observations. Scheelite grains were separated and handpicked under a binocular microscope. The grains were mounted in epoxy and polished for further observation and analysis.

SEM and cold cathodoluminescence

SEM-CL images of scheelite were acquired to image the internal structure, using Carl Zeiss SIGMA 300 field emission scanning electron microscope, equipped with an Oxford X-MAX020 energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) at the School of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University (SYSU). The applied acceleration voltage and current were 15 kV and ca. 25 nA, respectively. We also used a cold cathode generator CL8200 MK5, with a Quanta FEG 650 mFEI Corporation operating at about 10 keV and 250 mA, with imagery taken using a 3 s exposure time on thin sections at China University of Geosciences (Wuhan). The samples were photographed at 298 K. The former technique could reflect the internal texture whereas the later one could be used to reflect the composition of scheelite.

Electron probe analytical method

Major element compositions of scheelite grains were obtained at the Shandong Analysis Center of the China Metallurgical Geology Bureau using a JEOL JXA-8230 electron microprobe at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV with a 20 nA beam current, 1 µm beam diameter for all elements. Natural minerals and synthetic oxides were used as standards (albite for Na, olivine for Mg, Cr-diopside for Ca, magnetite for Fe, rhodonite for Mn, rutile for Ti, orthoclase for K, Cr₂O₃ for Cr, metal Cu for Cu, ZnWO₄ for W, molybdenite for Mo, and metal Sn for Sn). Peak and background counting times were 20 and 10 s for Ca, W, 20 to 40 s for the other elements. All data were corrected with the ZAF procedures. The detection limit is 0.015% for most of the elements, and the precision is commonly better than 1.5%.

LA-ICP-MS measurement

Trace element concentrations in scheelite were measured by laser ablation inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) on polished thick sections at the In situ Mineral Geochemistry Lab, Ore Deposit and Exploration Centre (ODEC), Hefei University of Technology, China. The analyses were carried out on an Agilent 7900 Quadrupole ICP-MS coupled to a Photon Machines Analyte HE 193 nm ArF Excimer Laser Ablation system. Each analysis was performed using a uniform spot size diameter of 44 µm at 8 Hz with energy of ~4 J/cm² for 40 s after measuring the gas blank for 20 s. Standard reference materials BCR-2G and NIST 610 were used as external standards to plot the calibration curve. The preferred concentrations for the USGS reference glasses are from the GeoReM database (http://georem.mpch-mainz. gwdg.de/). Standard reference materials were run after each 10–15 unknowns with detection limits calculated for each element in each spot analysis.

The offline data processing was performed using the program ICPMSDataCal (Liu et al. 2008). Internal element standard calibration was used when possible for mineral trace elements analysis. Although scheelite is an anhydrous mineral and there is no need to consider the H₂O and volatiles during the analysis, it is zoned in Ca, W, and Mo and hard to analyze the same volume by both electron microprobe and LA-ICP-MS, which means we cannot use those elements as major-element internal standards. Shen et al. (2018) achieved satisfactory accuracy for major and trace element data in anhydrous minerals using multiple reference materials without applying internal standardization. The analytical precisions for most measured elements are better than 8%, and the results agree with their reference values within ±10%.

In situ Sr isotopes

In situ Sr isotope analyses were performed at the State Key Laboratory of Isotope Geochemistry, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences (GIG-CAS) using a Neptune Plus MC-ICP-MS (Thermo-Scientific), coupled with a RESOlution M-50 193 nm laser ablation system. Detailed methods are referred to Zhang et al. (2014). The operating conditions were as follows: beam diameter, 112–155 µm; repetition rate, 6 Hz; energy density, ~4 J cm⁻². Correction for ⁸⁷Rb used measured the natural ⁸⁵Rb/⁸⁷Rb with a value of 2.593 (Catanzaro et al. 1966). The mass bias of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr was normalized to ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr = 0.1194 with an exponential law. The detailed data reduction procedure was reported in Zhang et al. (2018).

RESULTS

Scheelite distribution and classification

Hydrothermal alteration and mineralization of the Tongshankou porphyry-skarn deposit have been described in detail



FIGURE 3. Photographs and photomicrographs of samples from the Tongshankou deposit. (a) Mafic enclave contained in the granodiorite porphyry. (b) Hand sample of altered granodiorite porphyry. Sulfides such as pyrite and bornite could be observed. (c) Hand sample of altered granodiorite porphyry under ultraviolet light. Disseminated scheelite could be observed distributing in the granodiorite porphyry. (d) Hand sample of skarn. Brown garnet could be observed with retrograde alteration minerals distributing in the sides of garnet. (e) Hand sample of skarn under ultraviolet light. Scheelite could be observed coexisting with retrograde alteration minerals. (f) Hand sample of distal marble, with quartz-sulfide vein crosscutting it. (g) Hand sample of distal marble under ultraviolet light. Scheelite could be observed distributing in the quartz veins. Abbreviations from Whitney and Evans (2010): Ccp = Chalcopyrite; Py = Pyrite; Qz = Quartz; Bn = Bornite; Grt = Garnet. (Color online.)

by Han et al. (2018), with porphyry mineralization consisting of potassic alteration, phyllic alteration, and carbonate stage and skarn-type alteration and mineralization consisting of the early skarn stage, late skarn stage, oxide stage, quartz-sulfide stage, and late vein stage.

Scheelite was only observed at depth and occurs mainly in areas of skarn alteration (Fig. 2). They commonly occur as disseminations in the altered granodiorite porphyries (Sch A; Figs. 3b and 3c), in the skarn coeval with retrograde alteration (Sch B; Figs. 3d and 3e) and in distal quartz veins (Sch C) crosscutting marble (Figs. 3f and 3g). The three types of scheelite grains have distinct mineral assemblages that are: (1) Sch A coexisting with calcite and chalcopyrite (Figs. 4a and 4c), (2) Sch B occurring with sericite and chalcopyrite (Fig. 4e), and (3) Sch C occurring with quartz in distal quartz veins (Fig. 4g).

Cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging

Sch A shows complex CL textures, consisting of turbid Sch A-II and clear Sch A-I (Figs. 4b, 4d, and 5b). Sch A-I is typically much clearer than type A-II (Figs. 4b and 4d). The blue luminescence of Sch A-I is vaguely zoned. The Sch-II shows lighter blue luminescence and a concentration of blue-white luminescent veins. Many veins are seen to traverse Sch A-I. In addition, Sch A-I shows blue luminescence, whereas Sch A-II sch A-II shows blue luminescence.

Downloaded from http://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/msa/ammin/article-pdf/105/6/945/5050665/am-2020-7194.pdf by Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry CAS user on 29 October 2021



FIGURE 4. Photomicrographs of scheelite occurrences in the Tongshankou deposit. (**a** and **c**) Scheelite in the altered granodiorite porphyry (Sch A), coexisting with calcite. Plane-polarized photomicrograph. (**b** and **d**) Cathodoluminescence (CL) image of Sch A. The blue luminescence of Sch A-I is vaguely zoned. The Sch-II shows lighter blue luminescence and a concentration of blue-white luminescent veins. Many veins are seen to traverse Sch A-I. (**e**) Scheelite in the skarn (Sch B), coexisting with sericite. Cross-polarized photomicrograph. (**f**) CL image of Sch B. (**g**) Scheelite in the distal marble contained in the quartz vein (Sch C). Plane-polarized photomicrograph. (**h**) CL image of Sch C. Cal = calcite; Ser = sericite; Qz = quartz; Ccp = chalcopyrite. (Color online.)

shows light blue luminescence, the latter also crosscuts the rims of Sch A-I (Figs. 4b and 4d). SEM-CL also reveals complex textures of Sch A, as demonstrated by CL-dark gray and CL-bright gray scheelite in individual grain (Fig. 5b). Meanwhile, many pores were developed in CL-dark gray Sch A, where mica was observed (Fig. 5b). Sch B and Sch C show blue luminescence with relatively homogenous CL intensity (Figs. 4f and 4h), consistent with the generally CL-bright gray color under SEM-CL (Figs. 5d and 5f).

Major and trace element geochemistry

Major element data for scheelite are presented in Supplemental¹ Table S1. These scheelite grains have 75.4–81.5% WO₃, 18.8–19.7% CaO, and 0–1.7% MoO₃ with only subtle differences among the four types of scheelite grains (Sch A-I, A-II, B, and C). The scheelite grains all have minor concentrations of Na₂O, MgO, MnO, FeO, TiO₂, K₂O, Cr₂O₃, SnO₂, CuO.

A total of 52 trace elements were measured by LA-ICP-MS on the scheelite from the Tongshankou deposit. Representative results are presented in Supplemental¹ Table S2. The scheelite grains have variable abundances of Sr, Nb, Na, and Mo, and the abundances of other trace elements are either minor or close to the detection limit. Although all the scheelite types are enriched in light rare earth elements (LREE) relative to heavy REE (HREE; Figs. 5a, 5c, and 5e), each type is characterized by distinct distribution patterns. Analyses of Sch A-I show strong negative chondrite-normalized Eu anomalies (Fig. 5a). The Sch A-II grains have positive Eu anomalies and have the highest total REE contents of all samples analyzed (Fig. 5a). Rare-earth patterns of Sch B and C have positive and negative Eu anomalies, respectively (Figs. 5c and 5e). The Eu anomalies of the four types of scheelite do not show an obvious correlation with Sr and Mo contents (Fig. 6).

In situ Sr isotopes of scheelite and calcite

In situ Sr isotopes of scheelite and calcite that coexist with Sch A are presented in Supplemental¹ Table S3. The measured ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of scheelite range from 0.7073–0.7079 for Sch A-I, 0.7080–0.7100 for Sch A-II, 0.7064–0.7068 for Sch B, and 0.7076–0.7078 for Sch C (Fig. 7). The calcite have wide ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios ranging from 0.7072 to 0.7085 (Fig. 7).

DISCUSSION

Dissolution and reprecipitation of scheelite

Our studies show that cold-cathode and SEM-CL can highlight cryptic textures in scheelite that cannot be revealed via transmitted or reflected light imaging (Figs. 4a–d). The scheelite in the Tongshankou deposit generally shows homogeneous blue luminescence (Fig. 4). However, Sch A shows complex CL textures as reflected by light-blue luminescent Sch A-II with cracks and vaguely zoned deep-blue luminescent Sch A-I being distributed in a single grain (Figs. 4b and 4d). SEM-CL image also reveals complex textures consisting of both dark- and bright-gray zones in an individual grain (Fig. 5b).

The pores in Sch A-II indicate that dissolution has occurred and that there would have been a small loss of material liberated into the ambient fluids (Fig. 5b). As crystal defects can produce different CL responses due to specific physical and chemical conditions under which the hydrothermal mineral grew (Rusk and Reed 2002; Rusk et al. 2008), the CL-dark gray and CL-bright gray zones in an individual grain represent different generations of scheelite in equilibrium with fluids of different physical and chemical conditions (Figs. 5b; Rusk and Reed 2002).

A close spatial relationship and sharp reaction fronts observed between Sch A-I and Sch A-II, without changing the shape of the primary crystals, indicate a replacement process through the almost coeval occurrence of dissolution and reprecipitation (Putnis 2009; Fig. 5b). Sch A-II is sometimes surrounded by the parental Sch A-I, a feature that can be explained by cracks in the inner part of Sch A (Figs. 4b and 4d), which allowed the percolation of fluids through the primary mineral phase and thus, dissolved Sch A-I and precipitate Sch A-II in the core. During this process, compositional exchange between the fluids and Sch A-I occurred, resulting in



FIGURE 5. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns of scheelite from the Tongshankou deposit and SEM-CL images of represented scheelite. (a) Sch A shows complex REE patterns, with Sch A-I displaying negative Eu anomalies and HREE depletion while Sch A-II displaying positive Eu anomalies and elevated HREE. (b) Complex textures of Sch A could be observed. (I) Cl-bright gray, mostly concentrically zoned scheelite; (II) CL-dark gray, complexly zoned scheelite. A close-up of a pore is shown on the upper right corner, showing the existence of mica based on the cleavage in the pore. (c) Sch B exhibit exclusively positive Eu anomalies and steep HREE depletion. (d) Rhythmically zoned, broadly homogeneous CL character of Sch B. (e) Sch C shows HREE depletion and primarily small negative Eu anomalies. (f) Rhythmically zoned, broadly homogeneous CL appearance of Sch C. (Color online.)

Sch A-II having a different composition compared to Sch A-I in terms of REE patterns, Eu anomalies, Mo concentrations, and Sr isotopes (Figs. 5a, 6b, and 7). Besides, Sch A-I may differ to Sch A-II on molar volume and solubility, the combination of which would have led to the development of pores in Sch A-II, which is the common feature of the product phase (Putnis 2009).

The sources of the tungsten and ore-forming fluids

All three types of scheelite coexist with hydrothermal chalcopyrite (Figs. 3f, 3g, and 4), indicating they were generated from hydrothermal fluids, not directly from magma (Han et al. 2018). According to the above discussion, Sch A-I should repre-

American Mineralogist, vol. 105, 2020

Downloaded from http://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/msa/ammin/article-pdf/105/6/945/5050665/am-2020-7194.pdf by Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry CAS user on 29 October 2021

sent primary hydrothermal scheelite mineralization in the skarn system of the Tongshankou deposit. The relatively homogeneous appearance and the similar blue luminescence (Figs. 4f, 4h, 5d, and 5f) indicate that the Sch B and C have not been modified by later hydrothermal fluids, i.e., they can represent their primary geochemical characteristics. Previous studies have shown that the skarn Cu-Mo mineralization was closely related to the granodiorite porphyry widely distributed at Tongshankou (e.g., Li et al. 2008). However, the newly identified scheelite mineralization only occurred at depths >350 m of the drill holes, indicating the source of tungsten should not come only or directly from the granodiorite porphyries, which is supported by their low tungsten contents



FIGURE 6. (a) Plot of Eu/Eu* vs. Sr concentration of scheelite; (b) plot of Eu/Eu* vs. Mo concentration of scheelite. (Color online.)



FIGURE 7. In situ Sr isotopes for scheelite and calcites compared with whole-rock Sr isotopes of the granodiorite porphyries and mafic enclaves in the Tongshankou deposit. GP = granodiorite porphyries; ME = mafic enclaves. (Color online.)

ranging 3–11 ppm (Supplemental¹ Table S4). In contrast, mafic enclaves commonly occurring in granodiorite porphyries at depth have high tungsten contents ranging 48–75 ppm (Supplemental¹ Table S4), indicating that the mafic enclaves may contribute to the source of tungsten. No separate tungsten minerals were identified in the mafic enclaves (Supplemental¹ Fig. S1). Compared to the granodiorite porphyry, the mafic enclaves host more biotite (Supplemental¹ Fig. S1). Biotite from the granodiorite porphyry have tungsten concentrations ranging from 0.12 to 1.43 ppm, whereas those from the mafic enclaves have tungsten concentrations ranging from 25.56 to 279 ppm (Supplemental¹ Table S5). The higher tungsten concentrations in the biotite from the mafic enclaves let us to propose that at least part of the tungsten may come from the mafic enclaves.

Fluid-host rock interaction can affect the Sr isotopic composition of fluids (Lv et al. 1992; Shu et al. 1992; Li et al. 2008; Scanlan et al. 2018). Some scheelite in magmatic systems such as the Shimensi W-Cu-Mo deposit in South China have low Sr concentrations (200-1000 ppm). In that system, fluid-rock interaction with Sr-rich metasedimentary host rocks led to widely variable ⁸⁷Sr/86Sr ratios in scheelite (0.7230-0.7657; Sun and Chen 2017). At Tongshankou, scheelite mineralization resides mainly in the skarn and coexists with chalcopyrite, implying the scheelite should be hydrothermal minerals in the skarn system. Its formation involved the interaction from the exsolved fluids from the granodiorite porphyry and carbonates. The host rocks are mainly marine carbonates that typically have (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr)_i values lower than 0.7060 (Xu and Jiang 2017). The measured 87Sr/86Sr ratios for Sch A-I and Sch C have overlapping ranges of 0.7073-0.7079 and 0.7076-0.7078, respectively, whereas the ratios for Sch B range 0.7064-0.7068. The lower 87Sr/86Sr in Sch B suggests greater involvement of carbonates in the formation of this group of scheelites, which is consistent with Figures 3d and 3e.

Meanwhile, all these ratios are comparable to the Sr isotopic composition of the porphyry granodiorites and mafic enclaves (Fig. 7; porphyry granodiorites: 0.7061–0.7063; mafic enclaves: 0.7058–0.7073; Li et al. 2008). The similar Sr isotopes, high tungsten contents in the mafic enclaves, the occurrence of scheelite at depth and the coexistence of scheelite with chalcopyrite in skarn all imply that the primary ore-forming fluids for the scheelite mineralization were likely to have resulted from the interaction between mafic enclaves and exsolved magmatic-hydrothermal fluids from the granodiorite porphyries.

REE substitution mechanism in primary scheelite

Three main coupled substitution mechanisms that can introduce REE into the scheelties are: (1) $2Ca^{2+} = REE^{3+} + Na^+$; (2) $Ca^{2+} + W^{6+} = REE^{3+} + Nb^{5+}$; (3) $3Ca^{2+} = 2REE^{3+} + \square_{Ca}$, where \square_{Ca} represents a Ca-site vacancy (Nassau and Loiacono 1963; Burt 1989; Uspensky et al. 1998; Ghaderi et al. 1999). Different substitution mechanisms will result in distinct REE patterns of scheelite (e.g., Ghaderi et al. 1999).

The extremely low contents of Nb compared to the ΣREE and the absence of correlation between the ΣREE and Nb preclude



FIGURE 8. (a) Plot of Nb+Ta vs. SREE-Eu+Y of scheelite; (b) plot of Na vs. SREE-Eu+Y of scheelite. (Color online.)

Nb-dominated substitution in the Tongshankou scheelite (Fig. 8a). Given the linear trend along the 1:1 line between Na and total REE in Sch C, it is most probable that Na-substitution occurred in Sch C (Fig. 8b). However, Ghaderi et al. (1999) stated that Na-substitution in scheelite would result in hump-like REE patterns, which are not observed in Sch C. Sch C is characterized by higher Sr concentration than the other scheelite (Fig. 6a), indicating the involvement of Sr during the REE substitution. We speculate that the involvement of Sr²⁺ was responsible for the absence of a hump-like REE pattern in Sch C. As to the other types of scheelite, no correlation exists between Na and $\Sigma REE+Y$ –Eu, excluding the involvement of Na-substitution mechanism (Fig. 8b).

Calcium-site vacancies can provide the charge compensation in scheelite and will generate REE patterns inherited from the ore-forming fluids (Ghaderi et al. 1999; Song et al. 2014). The Tongshankou scheelite is characterized by depletion of HREE except for the Sch A-II that resulted from modification of Sch A-I (Figs. 5a, 5c, and 5e). Based on our detailed observations, the occurrence of scheelite (Figs. 4a, 4c, 4e, and 4g) should be later than that of garnet occurring in early skarn alteration. The original garnets at Tongshankou also show HREE-depletion (LA-ICP-MS results, unpublished data), suggesting the initial ore-forming fluids were also depleted in HREE. Therefore, the HREE-depleted pattern of Sch A-I and B were likely inherited from the initial ore-forming fluids, indicating that \square_{Ca} -substitution is the most probable mechanism. In this scenario, the partitioning coefficients of REEs between scheelite and the melt or solution are approximately identical and the REE patterns of Sch A-I and B could be used to trace the ore-forming fluids (Nassau and Loiacono 1963).

Oxygen fugacity of the primary ore-forming fluids

Based on the above discussion, the REE patterns of Sch C have been disturbed by the Na substitution mechanism while those of Sch A-II reflect later involved fluids. The REE patterns of Sch A-I and B record the characteristics of ore-forming fluids. As Mo is a redox-sensitive element (Elbaz-Poulichet et al. 2005), we compare the Mo concentration with Eu/Eu* to determine whether Eu anomalies could reflect redox conditions of hydrothermal fluids. Sch A-I is characterized by Eu/Eu* < 1

and elevated Mo, whereas Sch B is characterized by Eu/Eu* > 1 and low Mo (Fig. 6b). This phenomenon suggests that changes in Eu anomalies in Sch A-I and B were related to redox conditions of hydrothermal fluids. The absence of obvious linear correlation between Eu anomalies and Mo concentration (Fig. 6b) perhaps indicates that Eu anomalies were not only related to the f_{02} of hydrothermal fluids but also affected by the fluid pH or different partition coefficients between Eu²⁺ and Eu³⁺ (Ghaderi et al. 1999; Brugger et al. 2000, 2008).

Oxidized Mo (Mo⁶⁺) will tend to enter scheelite by substituting for W⁶⁺ whereas reduced Mo (Mo⁴⁺) will tend to precipitate as molybdenite (Ghaderi et al. 1999; Zhao et al. 2018). According to Mo compositions in each scheelite type (Fig. 6), negative Eu anomalies in Sch A-I may reflect more oxidizing conditions and positive Eu anomalies of Sch B formed from a more reduced fluid (Ghaderi et al. 1999; Brugger et al. 2000; Poulin et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2018). This suggests that the scheelite-fluid partition coefficient of Eu²⁺ may be greater than that of Eu³⁺. The decrease in Mo concentration from Sch A-I to Sch B may thereby reflect a progressive decrease in f_{O_2} of hydrothermal fluids.

Metallogenic model

Combined with previous studies, the metallogenic model for the Tongshankou mineralization could be expressed as magmatic-hydrothermal fluids exsolved from the granodiorite porphyries interacted with the carbonate host rocks and generated skarn alteration and copper ore bodies. At depth, the magmatic-hydrothermal fluids interacted with the tungsten-rich mafic enclaves, forming disseminated scheelite mineralization in the granodiorite porphyries (Sch A), in the contact between the carbonates and the granodiorite porphyries (Sch B), and along the cracks of the carbonates in the distal area (Sch C; Fig. 9a).

It is difficult to form Sch A-II with high REE concentrations without the incursion of a REE-rich external fluid (Fig. 5a). Commonly, calcites have low (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr)_i values (<0.7060; Xu and Jiang 2017). The large distribution of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of the Tongshankou calcites, especially those high values similar to Sch A-II ratios (Fig. 7) may be due to the involvement of an



FIGURE 9. (a) Metallogenic model for the Tongshankou primary Cu-W deposits. **(b)** The dissolution-reprecipitation process of Sch A into Sch A-I and Sch A-II. (Color online.)

external fluid with high initial Sr isotopes. The presence of mica in the pores of the Sch A-II also supports the presence of K⁺ in the fluid (Fig. 5b). In summary, a later fluid, enriched in K and REE and with high (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr)_i, attacked and modified Sch A-I grains, resulting in the textures and compositions observed in Sch A-II (Fig. 9b).

IMPLICATIONS

Cold and SEM-CL imaging of scheelite can reveal textures that are not seen under transmitted light, including those resultant from dissolution-reprecipitation processes. At Tongshankou, dissolution-reprecipitation yielded scheelite with strongly modified REE patterns and Sr isotopes without significantly modifying their morphology and appearance. Therefore, it is crucial to evaluate such processes according to the textures and geochemical characteristics before using scheelite as an indicator mineral when studying hydrothermal ore deposits.

This study also provides a good example of the use of scheelite textures and geochemistry to reveal the nature and source of ore-forming fluids. The scheelite-precipitating fluids were not depleted in Mo based on the coexistence of molybdenite and chalcopyrite (Han et al. 2018) and the coexistence of scheelite and chalcopyrite (Figs. 4a and 4c). The Mo contents in the scheelite reflect the fluid oxygen fugacity and together with the Eu anomalies in Sch A-I and Sch B suggest that the scheelite-fluid partition coefficient of Eu²⁺ may be greater than that of Eu³⁺.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Xiaobo Zhang and Zeming Li for their help during fieldwork. Rémy S. Poulin, Olga Plotinskaya, Shaoyong Jiang, and an anonymous reviewer were acknowledged for their helpful comments. This is contribution No.IS-2858 from GIGCAS.

FUNDING

This study was funded by the Special Public Welfare Scientific Research fund Project by Ministry of Land and Resources, China (201511035), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC Grant 41502065), and CAS Creative and Interdisciplinary Program (Y433131A07).

References cited

- Bell, K., Anglin, C.D., and Franklin, J.M. (1989) Sm-Nd and Rb-Sr isotope systematics of scheelites: Possible implications for the age and genesis of vein-hosted gold deposits. Geology, 17, 500–504.
- Brugger, J., Lahaye, Y., Costa, S., Lambert, D., and Bateman, R. (2000) Inhomogeneous distribution of REE in scheelite and dynamics of Archaean hydrothermal systems (Mt. Charlotte and Drysdale gold deposits, Western Australia). Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 139, 251–264.
- Brugger, J., Maas, R., Lahaye, Y., McRae, C., Ghaderi, M., Costa, S., Lambert, D., Bateman, R., and Prince, K. (2002) Origins of Nd–Sr–Pb isotopic variations in single scheelite grains from Archaean gold deposits, Western Australia. Chemical Geology, 182, 203–225.
- Brugger, J., Etschmann, B., Pownceby, M., Liu, W.H., Grundler, P., and Brewe, D. (2008) Oxidation state of europium in scheelite: Tracking fluid–rock interaction in gold deposits. Chemical Geology, 257, 26–33.
- Burt, D.M. (1989) Compositional and phase relations among rare earth element minerals. Reviews in Mineralogy, 21, 259–307.
- Catanzaro, E.J., Murphy, T.J., Garner, E.L., and Shields, W.R. (1966) Absolute isotopic abundance ratio and atomic weight of terrestrial rubidium. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, 73, 511–516.
- Chang, Y.F., Liu, X.P., and Wu, Y.C. (1991) The Copper-Iron Belt of the Lower and Middle Reaches of the Changjiang River, 379 p. Geological Publishing House, Beijing (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Chugaev, A.V., Chernyshov, I.V., Gamyanin, G.N., Bortnikov, N.S., and Baranova, A.N. (2010) Rb-Sr isotopic systematic of hydrothermal minerals, age, and matter sources of the Nezhdaninskoe gold deposit (Yakutia). Doklady Earth Sciences, 434, 1337–1341.
- Darbyshire, D.P.F., Pitfield, P.E.J., and Campbell, S.D.G. (1996) Late Archean and Early Proterozoic gold-tungsten mineralization in the Zimbabwe Archean Craton: Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd isotope constraints. Geology, 24, 19–22.
- Deditius, A.P., Reich, M., Simon, A.C., Suvorova, A., Knipping, J., Roberts, M.P., Rubanov, S., Dodd, A., and Saunders, M. (2018) Nanogeochemistry of hydrothermal magnetite. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 173, no. 46. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00410-018-1474-1
- Dostal, J., Kontak, D.J., and Chatterjee, A.K. (2009) Trace element geochemistry of scheelite and rutile from metaturbidite-hosted quartz vein gold deposits, Meguma Terrane, Nova Scotia, Canada: Genetic implications. Mineralogy and Petrology, 97, 95–109.
- Elbaz-Poulichet, F., Seidel, J.L., Jézéquel, D., Metzger, E., Prévot, F., Simonucci, C., Szrazin, G., Viollier, E., Etcheber, H., Jouanneau, J.M., Weber, O., and Radakovitch, O. (2005) Sedimentary record of redox-sensitive elements (U, Mn, Mo) in a transitory anoxic basin (the Thau lagoon, France). Marine Chemistry, 95, 271–281.
- Fu, Y., Sun, X., Zhou, H., Lin, H., Jiang, L., and Yang, T. (2017) In-situ LA-ICP-MS trace elements analysis of scheelites from the giant Beiya gold–polymetallic deposit in Yunnan Province, Southwest China and its metallogenic implications. Ore Geology Reviews, 80, 828–837.
- Gaspar, M., Knaack, C., Meinert, L.D., and Moretti, R. (2008) REE in skarn systems: A LA-ICP-MS study of garnets from the Crown Jewel gold deposit. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 72, 185–205.
- Geisler, T., Schaltegger, U., and Tomaschek, F. (2007) Re-equilibration of zircon in aqueous fluids and melts. Elements, 3, 43–50.
- Ghaderi, M., Palin, J.M., Campbell, I.H., and Sylvester, P.J. (1999) Rare earth element systematics in scheelite from hydrothermal gold deposits in the Kalgoorlie-Norseman region, Western Australia. Economic Geology, 94, 423–437.
- Han, J.S., Chu, G.B., Chen, H.Y., Hollings, P., Sun, S.Q., and Chen, M. (2018) Hydrothermal alteration and short wavelength infrared (SWIR) characteristics of the Tongshankou porphyry-skarn Cu-Mo deposit, Yangtze craton, Eastern China. Ore Geology Reviews, 101, 143–164.
- Hu, H., Lentz, D., Li, J.W., McCarron, T., Zhao, X.F., and Hall, D. (2014) Reequilibration processes in magnetite from iron skarn deposits. Economic Geology, 110, 1–8.
- Lai, J.Q., Chi, G.X., Peng, S.G., Shao, Y.J., and Yang, B. (2007) Fluid evolution in the formation of the Fenghuangshan Cu-Fe-Au Deposit, Tongling, Anhui, China. Economic Geology, 102, 949–970.
- Lei, X.F., Duan, D.F., Jiang, S.Y., and Xiong, S.F. (2018) Ore-forming fluids and isotopic (H-O-C-S-Pb) characteristics of the Fujiashan-Longjiaoshan skarn W-Cu-(Mo) deposit in the Edong District of Hubei Province, China. Ore Geology Reviews, 103, 386-405.
- Li, J.W., Zhao, X.F., Zhou, M.F., Vasconcelos, P., Ma, C.Q., Deng, X.D., Sérgio de Souza, Z., Zhao, Y.X., and Wu, G. (2008) Origin of the Tongshankou porphyry–skarn Cu– Mo deposit, eastern Yangtze craton, Eastern China: geochronological, geochemical, and Sr–Nd–Hf isotopic constraints. Mineralium Deposita, 43, 315–336.
- Li, J.W., Zhao, X.F., Zhou, M.F., Ma, C.Q., de Souza, Z.S., and Vasconcelos, P. (2009)

by Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry CAS user on 29 October 2021 Late Mesozoic magmatism from the Daye region, eastern China: U–Pb ages, petrogenesis, and geodynamic implications. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 157, 383–409.

- Li, X.H., Li, W.X., Wang, X.C., Li, Q.L., Liu, Y., Tang, G.Q., Gao, Y.Y., and Wu, F.Y. (2010) SIMS U–Pb zircon geochronology of porphyry Cu–Au–(Mo) deposits in the Yangtze River Metallogenic Belt, eastern China: magmatic response to early Cretaceous lithospheric extension. Lithos, 119, 427–438.
- Ling, M.X., Wang, F.Y., Ding, X., Hu, Y.H., Zhou, J.B., Zartman, R.E., Yang, X.Y., and Sun, W.D. (2009) Cretaceous ridge subduction along the lower Yangtze River belt, eastern China. Economic Geology, 104, 303–321.
- Lipin, B.R., and McKay, G.A. (1989) Geochemistry and mineralogy of rare earth elements. Reviews in Mineralogy, 21, 169–200.
- Liu, Y., Hu, Z., Gao, S., Günther, D., Xu, J., Gao, C., and Chen, H. (2008) In situ analysis of major and trace elements of anhydrous minerals by LA-ICP-MS without applying an internal standard. Chemical Geology, 257, 34–43.
- Lv, X.B., Yao, S.Z., and Lin, X.D. (1992) The geological characteristics and ore-forming mechanism of Tongshankou skarn-porphyry composite type of copper (molybdenum) ore deposit, Hubei. Earth Science-Journal of China University of Geosciences, 17, 171–180 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Nassau, K., Loiacono, G.M. (1963) Calcium tungstate-III: trivalent rare earth substitution. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, 24, 1503–1510.
- Noble, S.R., Spooner, E.T.C., and Harris, F.R. (1984) The Logtung large tonnage, lowgrade W (scheelite)-Mo porphyry deposit, south-central Yukon Territory. Economic Geology, 79, 848–868.
- Plotinskaya, O.Y., Baksheev, I.A., and Minervina, E.A. (2018) REE distribution in scheelite from the Yubileinoe porphyry gold deposit, South Urals: evidence from LA-ICP-MS data. Geology of Ore Deposits, 60, 355–364.
- Poulin, R.S., Kontak, D.J., McDonald, A., and McCLenaghan, M.B. (2018) Assessing scheelite as an ore-deposit discriminator using its trace-element and REE chemistry. Canadian Mineralogist, 56, 265–302.
- Putnis, A. (2002) Mineral replacement reactions: From macroscopic observations to microscopic mechanisms. Mineralogical Magazine, 66, 689–708.
- —— (2009) Mineral replacement reactions. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 70, 87–124.
- Rusk, B.G., and Reed, M.H. (2002) Scanning electron microscope–cathodoluminescence analysis of quartz reveals complex growth histories in veins from the Butte porphyry copper deposit, Montana. Geology, 30, 727–730.
- Rusk, B.G., Lowers, H.A., and Reed, M.H. (2008) Trace elements in hydrothermal quartz: Relationships to cathodoluminescent textures and insights into vein formation. Geology, 36, 547–550.
- Scanlan, E.J., Scott, J.M., Wilson, V.J., Stirling, C.H., Reid, M.R., and Le Roux, P.J. (2018) In situ⁸⁷Sr/⁶⁶Sr of scheelite and calcite reveals proximal and distal fluid-rock interaction during orogenic W-Au mineralization, Otago Schist, New Zealand. Economic Geology, 113, 1571–1586.
- Shen, J., Qin, L., Fang, Z., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Liu, W., Wang, F., Xiao, Y., Yu, H., and Wei, S. (2018) High-temperature inter-mineral Cr isotope fractionation: A comparison of ionic model predictions and experimental investigations of mantle xenoliths from the North China Craton. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 499, 278–290.
- Shu, Q.A., Chen, P.R., and Chen, J.R. (1992) Geology of Fe–Cu Ore Deposits in Eastern Hubei Province, p. 532. Press of Metallurgical Industry, Beijing (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Smith, M.P., Henderson, P., Jeffries, T.E.R., Long, J., and Williams, C.T. (2004) The rare earth elements and uranium in garnets from the Beinn an Dubhaich aureole, Skye, Scotland, U.K.: Constraints on processes in a dynamic hydrothermal system. Journal of Petrology, 45, 457–484.
- Song, G.X., Qin, K.Z., Guangming, L.I., Evans, N.J., and Chen, L. (2014) Scheelite elemental and isotopic signatures: Implications for the genesis of skarn-type W-Mo deposits in the Chizhou Area, Anhui Province, Eastern China. American Mineralogist, 99, 303–317.
- Sun, K.K., and Chen, B. (2017) Trace elements and Sr-Nd isotopes of scheelite: Implications for the W-Cu-Mo polymetallic mineralization of the Shimensi deposit, South China. American Mineralogist, 102, 1114–1128.
- Uspensky, E., Brugger, J., and Gräser, S. (1998) REE geochemistry systematics of scheelite from the Alps using luminescence spectroscopy: From global regularities to facies control. Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen, 78, 33–56.
- Wang, Q., Zhao, Z.H., Bao, Z.W., Xu, J.F., Liu, W., Li, C.F., Bai, Z.H., and Xiong, X.L. (2004) Geochemistry and petrogenesis of the Tongshankou and Yinzu Adakitic

intrusive rocks and the associated porphyry copper-molybdenum mineralization in Southeast Hubei, East China. Resource Geology, 54, 137–152.

- Ware, B.D., Jourdan, F., Merle, R., Chiaradia, M., and Hodges, K., (2018) The Kalkarindji Large Igneous Province, Australia: Petrogenesis of the oldest and most compositionally homogenous province of the Phanerozoic. Journal of Petrology, 59, 635–665.
- Whitney, D.L., and Evans, B.W. (2010) Abbreviations for names of rock-forming minerals. American Mineralogist, 95, 185–187.
- Wood, S.A., and Samson, I.M. (2000) The hydrothermal geochemistry of tungsten in granitoid environments: I. Relative solubilities of ferberite and scheelite as a function of T, P, pH, and m_{NaCl}. Economic Geology, 95, 143–182.
- Xia, J.L., Huang, G.C., Ding, L.X., and Cheng, S.B. (2015) In situ analyses of trace elements, U–Pb and Lu–Hf isotopes in zircons from the Tongshankou Granodiorite Porphyry in Southeast Hubei Province, Middle-Lower Yangtze River metallogenic belt, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 89, 1588–1600.
- Xie, G.Q., Mao, J.W., Zhao, H.J., Duan, C., and Yao, L. (2012) Zircon U–Pb and phlogopite ⁴⁰Ar–³⁹Ar age of the Chengchao and Jinshandian skarn Fe deposits, southeast Hubei Province, Middle–Lower Yangtze River Valley metallogenic belt, China. Mineralium Deposita, 47, 633–652.
- Xie, G.Q., Mao, J.W., Zhu, Q.Q., Yao, L., Li, Y.H., Li, W., and Zhao, H.J. (2015) Geochemical constraints on Cu–Fe and Fe skarn deposits in the Edong district, Middle–Lower Yangtze River metallogenic belt, China. Ore Geology Reviews, 64, 425–444.
- Xie, G.Q., Mao, J.W., Li, W., Zhu, Q.Q., Liu, H.B., Jia, G.H., Li, Y.H., Li, J.J., and Zhang, J. (2016) Different proportion of mantle-derived noble gases in the Cu–Fe and Fe skarn deposits: He–Ar isotopic constraint in the Edong district, Eastern China. Ore Geology Reviews, 72, 343–354.
- Xu, Y.M., and Jiang, S.Y. (2017) In-situ analysis of trace elements and Sr-Pb isotopes of K-feldspars from Tongshankou Cu-Mo deposit, SE Hubei Province, China: Insights into early potassic alteration of the porphyry mineralization system. Terra Nova, 29, 343–355.
- Zeng, L.P., Zhao, X.F., Li, X.C., Hu, H., and McFarlane, C. (2016) In situ elemental and isotopic analysis of fluorapatite from the Taocun magnetite-apatite deposit, Eastern China: Constraints on fluid metasomatism. American Mineralogist, 101, 2468–2483.
- Zhang, L., Ren, Z.Y., Nichols, A.R.L., Zhang, Y.H., Zhang, Y., Qian, S.P., and Liu, J.Q. (2014) Lead isotope analysis of melt inclusions by LA-MC-ICP-MS. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 29, 1393–1405.
- Zhang, L., Ren, Z.Y., Wu, Y.D., and Li, N. (2018) Sr isotope measurement of basaltic glasses by LA-MC-ICP-MS based on a linear relationship between analytical bias and Rb/Sr ratios. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 32, 105–112. DOI: 10.1002/rcm.8011.
- Zhao, J., Brugger, J., Ngothai, Y., and Pring, A. (2014) The replacement of chalcopyrite by bornite under hydrothermal conditions. American Mineralogist, 99, 2389–2397.
- Zhao, W.W., Zhou, M.F., Williams-Jones, A.E., and Zhao, Z. (2018) Constraints on the uptake of REE by scheelite in the Baoshan tungsten skarn deposit, South China. Chemical Geology, 477, 123–136.
- Zhou, T.F., Wang, S.W., Fan, Y., Yuan, F., Zhang, D.Y., and White, N.C. (2015) A review of the intracontinental porphyry deposits in the Middle-Lower Yangtze River Valley metallogenic belt, Eastern China. Ore Geology Reviews, 65, 433–456.
- Zhu, Z.Y., Jiang, S.Y., Hu, J., Gu, L.X., and Li, J. (2014) Geochronology, geochemistry, and mineralization of the granodiorite porphyry hosting the Matou Cu–Mo (±W) deposit, Lower Yangtze River metallogenic belt, eastern China. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 79, 623–640.
- Zhu, Q.Q., Xie, G.Q., and Han, Y.X. (2018) Characteristics of tungsten mineralization from the Tongshankou skam-porphyry Cu (Mo) deposit in Daye, Hubei province, and its geological implications. Earth Science, 44, 441–455 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Manuscript received July 4, 2019 Manuscript accepted December 21, 2019 Manuscript handled by Paul Tomascak

Endnote:

¹Deposit item AM-20-67194, Supplemental Material. Deposit items are free to all readers and found on the MSA website, via the specific issue's Table of Contents (go to http://www.minsocam.org/MSA/AmMin/TOC/2020/Jun2020_data/ Jun2020 data.html).